

Chapter 10

Variable Selection

10.1. The active terms

- Variable selection
 - Aim: Identify the correct model
 - select the useful predictor
 - Ignore the non-informative terms
 - Y v.s. $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{999}$
 - Divide $X=(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{999})$ into two sets, X_A , and X_I ,
 - so that $E(Y|X) = E(Y|X_A)=X_A\beta_A$
 - X_A = active terms
 - X_I =inactive terms

10.1. Active terms and multicollinearity

- **Multicollinearity**

- some terms can be approximated by linear combination of the other terms.
 - e.g. $X_3 \approx c_0 + c_1 X_1 + c_2 X_2 + c_4 X_4 + c_5 X_5$
- In this case, $X'X$ is close to singular ($\det=0$),
 - $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y$ and $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1}$ can be huge.
 - We should avoid including all variables with multicollinearity in the regression model
 - e.g. set $X_A = (X_1, X_2, X_4, X_5)$,
 - $X_I = (X_3)$, since X_3 can be explained by X_1, X_2, X_4, X_5

10.1. Active terms and multicollinearity

- Remarks

- Multicollinearity

- some terms can be approximated by linear combination of the other terms.
- $(X'X)$ is close to non-singular. Inverse exists but unstable
- e.g. $X_3 \approx c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_2 + c_4X_4 + c_5X_5$

- Perfect/Exact multicollinearity or Aliased

- some term is exactly expressed by linear combination of the other terms.
- $(X'X)$ is singular. Inverse does not exist.
- e.g. $X_3 = c_0 + c_1X_1 + c_2X_2 + c_4X_4 + c_5X_5$

10.1. Active terms and multicollinearity

- How to detect multicollinearity?

- Check $(X'X)^{-1}$?

- problem: don't know how large is large.

- A better method: R^2_j , the coefficient of determination for the regression

$$X_j = c_0 + c_1 X_1 + \dots + c_{j-1} X_{j-1} + c_{j+1} X_{j+1} + \dots + c_p X_p + e$$

- $R^2_j \approx 1 \rightarrow$ multicollinearity, i.e. some terms can be approximated by linear combination of the other terms.

10.1. Active terms and multicollinearity

- Relationship between $Var(\hat{\beta}_j)$ and R_j^2
 - Using the idea of Added Variable Plot

Let $X_o = (1 \ X_1 \ X_2 \ \dots \ X_{j-1} \ X_{j+2} \ \dots \ X_p)$, $H_o = X_o(X_o'X_o)^{-1}X_o'$

For $Y = X_o\beta_o + X_j\beta_j + e$,

$\hat{\beta}_j$ = Regression coefficient between $(I - H_o)Y$ and $(I - H_o)X_j$
 $= (X_j'(I - H_o)X_j)^{-1} X_j'(I - H_o)Y$

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_j) = \sigma^2 (X_j'(I - H_o)X_j)^{-1}$$

- For the regression

$$X_j = c_0 + c_1X_1 + \dots + c_{j-1}X_{j-1} + c_{j+1}X_{j+1} + \dots + c_pX_p + e, \quad \text{or}$$

$$X_j = X_o c_o + e,$$

$$\text{we have } R_j^2 = 1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{X_j'(I - H_o)X_j}{SX_jX_j}$$

10.1. Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)

- Relationship between $Var(\hat{\beta}_j)$ and R_j^2

- $$Var(\hat{\beta}_j) = \sigma^2 (X_j' (I - H_O) X_j)^{-1}$$
$$R_j^2 = 1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{X_j' (I - H_O) X_j}{SX_j X_j}$$

- Therefore,
$$Var(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(1 - R_j^2) SX_j X_j}$$

Compare to

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{\sigma^2}{SX_j X_j}$$

- Variance Inflation Factor (VIF)

$$VIF = \frac{1}{(1 - R_j^2)}$$

- Increase in Variance due to multicollinearity
- Big VIF or R_j^2 implies multicollinearity
- In practice, $R^2 > 0.7$ is regarded as strong correlation
 - Becareful if $R_j^2 > 0.7$ or $VIF > 1/0.3 = 3.33$

10.1. Active terms and multicollinearity

- Example

```
x1=c(1,3,2,4,5,2,3,1,0,5)
x2=c(8,9,7,2,5,9,6,4,4,1)
x3=2*x1-5*x2+rnorm(10,0,0.1)
x4=c(3,1,4,2,7,3,4,5,6,3)
y=3+x1+2*x2+2*x4+rnorm(10,0,0.5)
summary(lm(y~x1+x2+x3))
#Find VIF
Rj1=summary(lm(x1~x2+x3+x4))$r.squared; VIF1=1/(1-Rj1)
Rj2=summary(lm(x2~x1+x3+x4))$r.squared; VIF2=1/(1-Rj2)
Rj3=summary(lm(x3~x1+x2+x4))$r.squared; VIF3=1/(1-Rj3)
Rj4=summary(lm(x4~x1+x2+x3))$r.squared; VIF4=1/(1-Rj4)
print(rbind(c("Rj",Rj1,Rj2,Rj3,Rj4),c("VIF",VIF1,VIF2,VIF3,VIF4)))
#Modified fitting by deleting either one of x1,x2,x3
summary(lm(y~x1+x2+x4))
summary(lm(y~x2+x3+x4))
summary(lm(y~x1+x3+x4))
```


10.2. Automatic Variable Selection procedure

- For all possible candidate models, we compute

- Akaike Information Criteria (AIC)

$$n \log \left(\frac{RSS}{n} \right) + 2p_C$$

Number of parameters in the model
e.g. $p+1$ in regression

- Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC)

$$n \log \left(\frac{RSS}{n} \right) + p_C \log(n)$$

- Mallow's C_p Statistics

$$\frac{RSS}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + 2p_C - n$$

- Predicted residual sum of Square (PRESS)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \{y_i - \hat{y}_{i(i)}\}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{\hat{e}_i}{1 - h_{ii}} \right\}^2$$

10.2. Automatic Variable Selection procedure

● AIC

$$n \log \left(\frac{RSS}{n} \right) + 2p_c$$

BIC

$$n \log \left(\frac{RSS}{n} \right) + p_c \log(n)$$

C_p

$$\frac{RSS}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + 2p_c - n$$

PRESS

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \{y_i - \hat{y}_{i(i)}\}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{\hat{e}_i}{1 - h_{ii}} \right\}^2$$

- Smaller value implies a better model
 - For AIC, BIC, C_p , they have a common structure:
lack of fit + penalty for model complexity
 - Larger model $\rightarrow$ Smaller RSS, Bigger penalty
 - Smaller model $\rightarrow$ Larger RSS, Smaller penalty
 - When p is fixed, they yield the same result (min RSS)
 - For PRESS, no penalty is need since different data are used for fitting and estimating errors

10.2. Automatic Variable Selection procedure

● AIC

$$n \log \left(\frac{RSS}{n} \right) + 2p_c$$

BIC

$$n \log \left(\frac{RSS}{n} \right) + p_c \log(n)$$

C_p

$$\frac{RSS}{\hat{\sigma}^2} + 2p_c - n$$

PRESS

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \{y_i - \hat{y}_{i(i)}\}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{\hat{e}_i}{1 - h_{ii}} \right\}^2$$

- What to do in practice?
 - Find the 4 best models according to each criteria
 - Usually they yield similar results, otherwise....
 - need to incorporate subject knowledge

10.2. Model Selection in Practice

- When you have Y and $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p$
 - For each possible model (e.g. $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1$, $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p$, $y = \beta_{p-1} x_{p-1} + \beta_p x_p$ etc)
 - Find AIC, BIC, C_p , PRESS
 - Report the best model (smallest value) w.r.t each criteria
 - How many possible models ? (how many combinations)
 - 2^p
 - If $p=20$, $2^{20}=1048576$
 - If a regression takes 1s , how long does it take for model selection?
 - Solutions
 - Forward Selection
 - Backward Selection

10.2. Model Selection in Practice

- Forward Selection

- Set-up

- K = Total number of terms may be added
- $i=1$, $L=1$ (current number of terms, first start with intercept only)
- V_i (current criterion values)

- Step i

- Each of the time, add one term beyond the current model
- Obtain $K+1-L$ criterion values
- Stop if
 - All terms are included
 - All $K+1-L$ criterion values are greater than V_i , i.e. additional terms does not improve the fitting.
- Set V_{i+1} be the minimum of the $K+1-L$ criterion values. Add the corresponding term to the current model, go to Step $i+1$

10.2. Model Selection in Practice

- Forward Selection

- Each time add 1 variable, until no improvement

- Example

- #Data
- `set.seed(1); x1=c(1,3,2,4,5,2,3,1,0,5); x2=c(8,9,7,2,5,9,6,4,4,1) ;`
- `x3=2*x1-5*x2+rnorm(10,0,0.1) ; x4=c(3,1,4,2,7,3,4,5,6,3)`
- `y=3+x1+2*x2+2*x4+rnorm(10,0,0.5)`
- `n=10; V0= n*log(sum(lm(y~1)$residuals^2)/n)+2*1=35.49`
- # Step 1: (current model $y = \beta_0$, $V_0=35.49$)
 - `AIC1a=n*log(sum(lm(y~x1)$residuals^2)/n)+2*(1+1)`
 - `AIC1b=n*log(sum(lm(y~x2)$residuals^2)/n)+2*(1+1)`
 - `AIC1c=n*log(sum(lm(y~x3)$residuals^2)/n)+2*(1+1)`
 - `AIC1d=n*log(sum(lm(y~x4)$residuals^2)/n)+2*(1+1)`
 - `print(c(AIC1a, AIC1b, AIC1c, AIC1d))`
 - $V_1=29.30$, add x2,

$$\text{AIC} \quad n \log \left(\frac{RSS}{n} \right) + 2p_C$$

10.2. Model Selection in Practice

- Forward Selection

- Each time add 1 variable, until no improvement

- Example

- # Step 2: (current model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_2 X_2$, $V_1 = 29.30$)
 - $AIC2a = n \cdot \log(\text{sum}(\text{lm}(y \sim x_2 + x_1)\$residuals^2)/n) + 2 \cdot (2+1)$
 - $AIC2b = n \cdot \log(\text{sum}(\text{lm}(y \sim x_2 + x_3)\$residuals^2)/n) + 2 \cdot (2+1)$
 - $AIC2c = n \cdot \log(\text{sum}(\text{lm}(y \sim x_2 + x_4)\$residuals^2)/n) + 2 \cdot (2+1)$
 - `print(c(AIC2a, AIC2b, AIC2c))`
 - $V_2 = 13.85$, Add x_4
- # Step 3: (current model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_4 X_4$, $V_2 = 13.85$)
 - $AIC3a = n \cdot \log(\text{sum}(\text{lm}(y \sim x_2 + x_4 + x_1)\$residuals^2)/n) + 2 \cdot (3+1)$
 - $AIC3b = n \cdot \log(\text{sum}(\text{lm}(y \sim x_2 + x_4 + x_3)\$residuals^2)/n) + 2 \cdot (3+1)$
 - `print(c(AIC3a, AIC3b))`
 - $V_3 = -9.30$, Add x_1

10.2. Model Selection in Practice

- Forward Selection

- Each time add 1 variable, until no improvement

- Example

- # Step 4: (current model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_4 X_4$, $V_3 = -9.30$)
 - $AIC4a = n \cdot \log(\text{sum}(\text{lm}(y \sim x_2 + x_4 + x_1 + x_3)$residuals^2)/n) + 2 \cdot (4+1)$
 - `print(c(AIC4a))`
 - $V_4 = -8.56 > -9.30 = V_3$. STOP, without adding x_3
 - Conclusion
 - The model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_4 x_4$ is the best according to AIC using Forward Selection.

10.2. Model Selection in Practice

- Backward Selection

- Set-up

- K = Total number of terms may be added
- $i=1$, $L=K+1$ (current number of terms, first fit all terms)
- V_i (current criterion values)

- Step i

- Each of the time, remove one term from the current model
- Obtain $L-1$ criterion values
- Stop if
 - All terms are removed
 - All $L-1$ criterion values are greater than V_i , i.e. removing terms worsen the fitting.
- Set V_{i+1} be the minimum of the $L-1$ criterion values. Remove the corresponding term from the current model, go to Step $i+1$

10.2. Model Selection in Practice

- Backward Selection

- Each time delete 1 variable, until no improvement

- Example

- #Data
- `set.seed(2); x1=c(1,3,2,4,5,2,3,1,0,5); x2=c(8,9,7,2,5,9,6,4,4,1) ;`
- `x3=2*x1-5*x2+rnorm(10,0,0.1) ; x4=c(3,1,4,2,7,3,4,5,6,3)`
- `y=3+x1+2*x2+2*x4+rnorm(10,0,0.5)`
- `n=10; V0= n*log(sum(lm(y~x1+x2+x3+x4)$residuals^2)/n)+2*(4+1)=-4.48`
- # Step 1: (current model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4$, $V_0 = -4.48$)
 - `AIC1a=n*log(sum(lm(y~x2+x3+x4)$residuals^2)/n)+2*(3+1)`
 - `AIC1b=n*log(sum(lm(y~x1+x3+x4)$residuals^2)/n)+2*(3+1)`
 - `AIC1c=n*log(sum(lm(y~x1+x2+x4)$residuals^2)/n)+2*(3+1)`
 - `AIC1d=n*log(sum(lm(y~x1+x2+x3)$residuals^2)/n)+2*(3+1)`
 - `print(c(AIC1a, AIC1b, AIC1c, AIC1d))`
 - $V_1 = -6.46$, remove x_1 ,

10.2. Model Selection in Practice

- **Backward Selection**

- Each time delete 1 variable, until no improvement

- **Example**

- # Step 2: (current model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4$, $V_0 = -6.46$)
 - $AIC2a = n \cdot \log(\text{sum}(\text{lm}(y \sim x_3 + x_4)\$residuals^2)/n) + 2 \cdot (2+1)$
 - $AIC2b = n \cdot \log(\text{sum}(\text{lm}(y \sim x_2 + x_4)\$residuals^2)/n) + 2 \cdot (2+1)$
 - $AIC2c = n \cdot \log(\text{sum}(\text{lm}(y \sim x_2 + x_3)\$residuals^2)/n) + 2 \cdot (2+1)$
 - `print(c(AIC2a, AIC2b, AIC2c))`
 - $V_2 = 16.10 > -6.46 = V_1$. **STOP, without removing any variable**
 - Conclusion
 - The model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_4$ is the best according to AIC using Backward Selection.

What you have learnt

- Regression: Relationship b/w variables
 - $Y = X\beta + e$
 - Least Sq Est β , Test if $\beta = 0$, C.I. for β , Predict Y
 - Compare between models by F-test
 - Diagnostic check – Residual/scatter/AV plot
 - Improvement
 - Non-constant variance -- WLS
 - Curve relationship -- 1) Poly Reg 2) Transform
 - Check Outlier – 1) T-test 2) Cook's distance
 - Variable selection: 1)AIC. 2)BIC. 3) C_p . 4)Press